

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,047	02/08/2005	Jon H. Rasmussen	C2432.0057	9121	
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 05/30/200 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			KOSAR, ANDREW D		
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,047	RASMUSSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew D. Kosar	1654	

	Andrew D. Kosar	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 March 2008</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further core			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	w); er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	112 rejection of claims 15-19.					
non-allowable claim(s). No for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) relected: 14-24 and 26-30.	will not be entered, or b) wil					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
Impart Growing to the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Andrew D Kosar/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are generally those previously presented and addressed in the Final Office Action mailed 9/20/2007, and will not be readdressed here. Applicant relies upon the alleged unexpected results of 'superior yield' by the processe, however, as stated in the Final Action, the comparison of the processes is not a 'side by side' comparison, as the two products compared are structurally distinct, and the examiner cannot conclude that the process now claimed is superior. Applicant has provided no evidence, e.g. declaration/affidavit with a true side by side comparison of the prior art and the instant method to support the assertion.